ORIGINA

24

25

## INTERVENTION



Travis Ritchie (pro hac vice pending) AZ CORP CONMINCION CA State Bar No. 258084 DOCKET CONTROL Sierra Club Environmental Law Program 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor 2012 AUG 28 PM 12 11 San Francisco, CA 941095 3 Email: travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org 4 Attorney for Sierra Club 5 6 **Before the Arizona Corporation Commission** Arizona Corporation Commission 7 DOCKETED 8 GARY PIERCE, CHAIRMAN AUG 28 2012 PAUL NEWMAN 9 SANDRA D. KENNEDY DOCKET 187 **BOB STUMP** 10 **BRENDA BURNS** 11 12 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION Docket No. E-01933A-12-0291 OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER PETITION FOR LEAVE TO COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF 13 INTERVENE BY SIERRA CLUB JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A 14 REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE 15 FAIR VALUE OF ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA. 16 17 Pursuant to R14-3-105 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Arizona Corporation 18 Commission, Sierra Club hereby petitions for leave to intervene in the above-captioned 19 proceeding. 20 Sierra Club 21 1. Sierra Club is a national, non-profit environmental and conservation organization 22 incorporated under the laws of the State of California. The Sierra Club is dedicated to the 23 protection of public health and the environment. Sierra Club petitions to intervene in this

proceeding on behalf of itself and its approximately 11,000 Sierra Club members who live and

purchase utility services in Arizona, many of whom are residential customers of Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP"). Sierra Club's Arizona members have a direct and substantial interest in this proceeding because TEP's proposed rate application will have environmental, health and economic consequences for Sierra Club members who are customers of TEP.

- 2. In particular, Sierra Club intends to address TEP's proposed Environmental Compliance Adjustor ("ECA"). Sierra Club is concerned that the ECA would eliminate the regulatory lag for certain major capital expenses related to TEP's coal fleet by automatically allowing such expenses to flow through to rates. Such a mechanism presupposes that major capital expenses for environmental compliance costs for TEP's coal facilities are unavoidable, and the ECA may therefore eliminate any incentive for TEP management to consider whether alternatives to major capital expenditures at aging coal facilities such as replacement generation or energy efficiency could provide a better value for ratepayers. Sierra Club may also address TEP's proposals related to investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy including, but not limited to, the Energy Efficiency Resource Plan, the TEP Solar Ownership Plan, and the Post-Test Year Plan. Sierra Club members have a direct and substantial interest in these issues and therefore have a right to participate in this proceeding to inform the Commission of their interests, both environmental and economic, that relate to the future treatment of costs resulting from major capital expenses at TEP's coal-fired power plants.
- 3. Sierra Club's Beyond Coal campaign advances the development of energy conservation and renewable energy policies, which eliminate or reduce global climate change emissions, reduce utility bills, and generate renewable energy. Sierra Club's work includes advocating for the implementation of robust incentive programs that assist its members and utility consumers generally to generate their own renewable energy and increase energy efficiency. The Sierra Club's work includes intervening in efficiency and renewable energy

- 4. Sierra Club has an interest in this docket because its members who live within TEP service territory will be directly and substantially affected by TEP's proposed ECA for costs related to coal-fired electric generating fleet, and by TEP's proposals related to investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- 5. Intervention by Sierra Club will not unduly broaden the issues or delay the proceeding.
- 6. Sierra Club attorney Travis Ritchie requests permission from the Commission to appear pro hac vice on a temporary basis pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 38(a)(3). There is good cause to allow temporary admission because it will allow Sierra Club to participate in the proceeding without delay. Mr. Ritchie is a member in good standing of the State Bar of California (Bar No. 258084) and is currently in the process of completing the application for admission pro hac vice.
- 7. Sierra Club requests that all pleadings, correspondence, discovery, and other documents be served on the following:

Travis Ritchie Sierra Club Environmental Law Program 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: 415-977-5727 travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org

24

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

WHEREFORE, Sierra Club respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order granting Petition for Leave to Intervene in the above-captioned proceeding.

Dated this 27th day of August, 2012

Travis Ritchie

Sierra Club Environmental Law Program

85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

Phone: 415-977-5727 Fax: 415-977-5793

travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org Attorney for Sierra Club

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 27<sup>th</sup> of August 2012 I served the Sierra Club's PETITION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE in Docket No. E-01933A-12-0291 upon all parties of record in this proceeding via FedEx or U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid.

Docketing Supervisor (13 Copies) Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Lyn Farmer Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Janice Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Divison Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Michael Patten
Jason Gellman
Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
Phoenix, AZ 85004

C. Webb Crockett Fennemore Craig, P.C. 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Steve Olea Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Bradley Carroll Tucson Electric Power Company 88 East Broadway Blvd, MS HQE910 P.O. Box 711 Tucson, AZ 85702

Daniel Pozefsky Chief Counsel Residential Utility Consumer Office 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220 Phoenix AZ, 85012

Kevin Higgins 215 South State Street, Suite 200 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Kurt Boehm Boehm, Kurtz, & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 Lawrence Robertson, Jr. P.O. Box 1448 Tubac, AZ 85646

John Moore, Jr. 7321 North Sixteenth Street Phoenix, AZ 85020

Thomas Mumaw Melissa Krueger Pinnacle West Capital Corporation P.O. Box 53999 Mail Station 8695 Phoenix, AZ 85072 Leland Snook
Zachary J. Fryer
Arizona Public Service Company
P.O. Box 53999
Mail Station 9708
Phoenix, AZ 85072

Stephen Baron 570 Colonial Park Drive Suite 305 Roswell, GA 30075

Dated at San Francisco, CA, this 27th of August of 2012.

James Giampietro Sierra Club Environmental Law Program 85 Second Street, Second Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 977-5368

james.giampietro@sierraclub.org